

Representor Number 1149198

Flintshire Local Development Plan 2015-2030

Matter 5 Response on Behalf of Mrs EM Charlton Trust (March 2021)

a) Does the LDP place sufficient emphasis on the benefits to sustainability of the use of brownfield land for development? How does the LDP encourage this?

Savills Response - None

b) , V WKH ZRUGLQJ RI 3ROLF\ 675 XQGXO\ RQHURXV VKRXOG LW Will it have a serious, detrimental effect on the viability of development proposals?

Savills Response - None

c) +DV VXIILFLHQW FRQVLGHUDWLRQ EHHQ JLYHQ WR WKH QHHG If align with those of neighbouring authorities?

Savills Response

As we have set out in previous submissions we do not consider that sufficient consideration has been given to the need for additional / replacement roadside service facilities on the new strategic OLQN URDG SURSRVHG WR W& Qutay.QRUWK ZHVW RI & RQQDK¶ The A55 to A494 Network Resilience Study (2017) highlighted the links between the daily flows of traffic on the A55 and the loading and off-loading times of freight at the Port of Holyhead. As part of the proposals to deliver 13km of dual carriageway, new infrastructure should be provided that supports highway safety along this new route.

Planning Policy Wales¹ states ³30DQQLQJ DXWKRULWLHV VKRXOG LQ FRQMXQF a strategic and long term approach towards the provision of infrastructure as part of plan m DNLQJ ´, W JRHV RQ WR VWDWH SDAddDtiohtalDy,\$Ph\$(licy 11 (NahNohtaDQbnn³ectivity)) of the Future Wales National Plan 2040 states that the Welsh Government will support the delivery of a 6WUDWHJLF 5RDG 1HWZRUN DQG ZLOO ³LQYHVW LQ URDG LPSURYHFD VDIHU DQG PRUH UHVLOLHQW URDG QHWZRUN ´


Whist Flintshire Council have clearly worked with a range of agencies and stakeholders on the delivery of the new road, little consideration has been given to the provision of roadside facilities to support the safety of drivers and passengers travelling along this new route. We consider that additional roadside facilities that are modern and fit for purpose are required along this new stretch of road for drivers to stop, either to take a break from driving or refuel.

The Mrs EM Charlton land we have identified and submitted to the Flintshire LDP process is considered to be an appropriate area of land for roadside services which could provide the infrastructure necessary to support highway safety along the new route. This site is considered to be an appropriate location for new strategic roadside services being sited adjacent to the proposed rebuilt/realigned Kelsterton junction.

_

¹ Planning Policy Wales (February 2021) Edition 11 paragraph 3.62

² Future Wales National Plan 2040 (February 20221)cy 11





d) Is it clear that there will be sufficient new facilities, for example for education, health, everyday shopping, public transport and so on, to meet the needs of future residents?

Savills Response

We do not consider that sufficient new roadside opportunities as part of the proposed new transport schemes have been appropriately considered by Flintshire Council in preparing the LDP. Whilst policy PC10 outlines five new transport schemes, it does not seek to provide new strategic opportunities, with our particular concern focussed on the new route proposed at the A494(T)/A55(T)/A548 Northop to Shotwick Interchange Improvement. These proposals cut across my client ¶ land and we have sought to engage the Council in proposal for supporting roadside services.

In our November 2019 representation we provided details of the existing roadside services which are located along the A55 (T) and how the new route will by-pass three existing roadside service facilities, leaving only one small BP garage at Saughall Services. We do not consider that the Council have appropriately assessed the provision of roadside services along the new proposed road or the proposals we submitted in our representations to the LDP process.

The new Northop to Shotwick (red route) Interchange Improvements, will be on one of the most important highways routes into Wales. It represents a £300 million road investment and will significantly improve the capacity of the A55. There appears to be a failure by FCC to acknowledge the high probability that the new road will be constructed wg (S)3.9961 5weknstAd 25 (wi)3-6.99he hi (c)-5 (esan99le)